



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,801 05/22/2001		05/22/2001	Tom Van Horn	22930-06067	8921
758	7590	09/27/2006	EXAMINER		INER
FENWICK	& WEST	T LLP	GORT, ELAINE L		
SILICON V	ALLEY C	ENTER			
801 CALIFO	DRNIA ST	REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAI	VIEW,	CA 94041		3627	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/863,801	VAN HORN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Elaine Gort	3627		

Advisory Action	09/003,007	VANTIONNE I AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Elaine Gort	3627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF		•						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•		atataka lese					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	hui muian ta tha data af filina a bair		<b>.</b>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains.</li> </ol>			pecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE BOIOW),						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	i):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-		•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  Other:								
	GO = 1	Elaine Gort						
	9/e/oc	Primary Examiner						
	9/2/06	Art Unit: 3627						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not provided enough evidence to show that Mercata and Vulcan are the same company or that there was a techlogical agreement at the time of the invention. For example when the providional application 60/206,566, which the present application claims priority to, was filed in May of 2000, Mercata had not yet assigned their patent 6,101,484 to Vulcan, because the assignment did not occurr until 2001.